

|   |                  |              |
|---|------------------|--------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No.  | Applicant(s) |
|   | 10/698,187       | ADAMS ET AL. |
| Examiner<br>Thanh-Tam T. Le                 | Art Unit<br>2839 |              |

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Thanh-Tam T. Le. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) G. Burke (Reg. No. 38,399). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 October 2006 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1-2, 23, 25, 36 and 38-39.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed and agreed with Mr. Burke upon the changes to clarify the claimed invention to more distinctly define over the prior art of record thereby to place the case in a condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**THANH-TAM LE**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)